Ethenesulfonyl Fluoride (ESF): An On-Water Procedure for the Kilogram-Scale Preparation

Qinheng Zheng, Jiajia Dong,[†] and K. Barry Sharpless*

Department of Chemistry, The Scripps Research Institute, La Jolla, California 92037, United States

Supporting Information

ABSTRACT: A two-step, on-water procedure for the synthesis of ethenesulfonyl fluoride (ESF) is described. 2-Chloroethanesulfonyl fluoride is made via a neat reaction with an aqueous, nearly saturated potassium bifluoride solution from readily available 2-chloroethanesulfonyl chloride. The subsequent dehydrochlorination of 2-chloroethanesulfonyl



fluoride proceeds neatly with magnesium oxide as the base in an aqueous suspension to give ESF. This recipe allows the preparation of ESF in 98% yield on a kilogram scale.

thenesulfonyl fluoride (ESF, 1) consists of a vinyl moiety directly linked to a sulfonyl fluoride group (CH₂=CH- SO_2F). First reported in 1953,¹ this compound has been successfully used in several productive fields, including dyestuffs,² functional materials (ion exchange membrane, photoresist material,⁴ etc.), lubricating oil additives,⁵ and medicinal chemistry.⁶ The most noteworthy feature is that ESF ranks at the top of the reactivity hierarchy of known Michael acceptors, from which most of the aforementioned applications stemmed.⁷ The extraordinary Michael reactivity of ESF was demonstrated in depth by Hyatt and co-workers in a masterful 1979 full paper,⁸ where nearly 100 examples at 1/20mol or larger scale are presented. In our ongoing pursuit of the best small and connective modules for click chemistry,⁹ ESF appears to be the perfect one. At present, the uses of ESF in a wider range of applications are limited by its high price.

Earlier routes to ESF include (1) aqueous potassium fluoride-mediated chloride–fluoride exchange from ethenesulfonyl chloride¹ and (2) chloride–fluoride exchange from 2chloroethanesulfonyl chloride followed by a base-mediated dehydrochlorination.¹⁰ In 1979, Hyatt et al.⁸ summarized previous syntheses and reported a two-step synthesis on a 1.7 mol scale (54% overall yield). From our experience with "onwater" reactivity, we saw opportunities for further improvements. In 2012, we discovered that interfacial treatment with a saturated aqueous K(FHF) solution (pH ~3.0) was remarkably effective for the synthesis of sulfonyl fluorides from the corresponding sulfonyl chlorides.^{7a} Given here are complete details of our improved bifluoride process for RSO₂Cl \rightarrow RSO₂F, as applied in the conversion of 2-chloroethanesulfonyl chloride (2) to ESF on a kilogram scale.

As shown in Scheme 1, the readily available 2 is converted to ESF in two simple steps. First, 2-chloroethanesulfonyl fluoride (3) is made via the sulfonyl chloride–fluoride exchange using a saturated K(FHF) solution. Second, MgO-mediated elimination (dehydrochlorination) of 3 in aqueous medium affords 1.

A 10 L Nalgene polypropylene carboy¹¹ was equipped with a Teflon-coated octagonal stir bar (14 mm \times 74 mm). This

Scheme 1. Kilogram-Scale Preparation of Ethenesulfonyl Fluoride

CI SO ₂ CI	neat, as a stirred emulsion with an aqueous, near saturated solution of K(FHF)	CI SO2F	neat, 0.5 equiv. MgO in aqueous suspension	
	rt		10°C to rt	SU ₂ F
2		3		1
1.50 kg		1.27 kg		0.94 kg 98%

reaction vessel was charged with water (4400 mL), to which potassium bifluoride [K(FHF), 1.70 kg, 21.8 mol] was added in one portion. While being magnetically stirred (600 rpm), K(FHF) started to dissolve in water, and a rapid endotherm was observed (the internal temperature reached ~8 °C). A nearly saturated K(FHF) solution formed after 1 h,12 when the solution approached room temperature (~22 °C). At this point, 2 (960 mL, 1.50 kg, 95% purity, 8.73 mol) was added in one portion to the K(FHF) solution. The biphasic mixture was stirred vigorously (480 rpm) to form an emulsion, and with continued stirring, the emulsion was maintained for 2 h at room temperature, or, rather, the autogenous temperature,¹³ when ¹H NMR or GC-MS indicated the completion of the reaction. The stationary mixture separates into two phases. The upper phase is an aqueous solution of salts,¹⁴ and the lower phase is virtually pure 2-chloroethanesulfonyl fluoride. With the aid of a funnel, the biphasic mixture was poured into a 6 L separatory funnel. The lower phase (~750 mL) was drained into a 1000 mL glass Erlenmeyer flask, dried over anhydrous MgSO₄ (10 g), and filtered, giving 3 (1.10 kg, 7.51 mol). Three 1000 mL portions of methylene chloride were used to wash the reaction vessel, and MgSO4 was used to dry neat 3 and to extract the upper aqueous phase. The combined organic phase was washed with 2 L of brine, dried over 100 g of anhydrous MgSO₄, and filtered through a 600 mL sintered glass Buchner funnel. The

Received: June 14, 2016 **Published:** October 20, 2016

The Journal of Organic Chemistry

filtrate was concentrated by rotary evaporation (18 $^{\circ}$ C, 0.05 bar) to afford additional 3 (0.17 kg). In total, 3 (1.27 kg, 8.67 mol, 99.3%) was obtained as a slightly yellow liquid.

A 4 L glass Erlenmeyer flask was equipped with a Tefloncoated octagonal stir bar (14 mm \times 74 mm) and supported by a beaker chain clamp. The flask was charged with water (1000 mL) and 3 (1.27 kg, 8.67 mol). While the mixture was being magnetically stirred (600 rpm), an emulsion was formed. After 1.0 kg of crushed ice had been added, the mixture was cooled to ~10 °C. Magnesium oxide (MgO, 174 g, 4.35 mol) was added portionwise over 15 min to the stirred emulsion, creating a white "slurry",¹⁵ which was then allowed to warm to room temperature. The reaction was judged complete by ¹H NMR after 3 h. The insoluble MgO is consumed in the reaction, creating soluble MgCl₂; hence, the white "slurry" eventually turns into an emulsion. The stationary emulsion separated into two phases. With the aid of a funnel, the mixture was poured into a 4 L separatory funnel. The upper aqueous phase is a MgCl₂ solution (~2 mol L⁻¹). The lower phase is virtually pure ESF (~600 mL), which was drained into a 1000 mL Erlenmeyer flask, dried over anhydrous MgSO4 (10 g), and filtered, giving neat 1 (0.85 kg, 7.7 mol). Three 500 mL portions of methylene chloride were used to wash the reaction vessel, and the MgSO₄ was used to dry the neat 1 and to extract the aqueous phase. The combined organic phase was washed with brine (1 L), dried over anhydrous MgSO₄ (50 g), and filtered through a 600 mL sintered glass Buchner funnel. The filtrate was concentrated by rotary evaporation (18 °C, 0.05 bar) to afford an additional 0.10 kg of $1.^{16}$ In total, 1 (0.94 kg, 8.6 mol, 98%) was obtained as a slightly yellow liquid, which was judged pure by ¹H NMR. Further short-path distillation under reduced pressure (85 °C, 0.53 bar) helped to remove the color and gave 0.90 kg of colorless 1.

In conclusion, 1.42 kg of 2-chloroethanesulfonyl chloride (2) was converted to 0.94 kg of ethenesulfonyl fluoride (ESF, 1, 98% overall yield). This interfacial, on-water sequence, which requires little more than stirring and liquid–liquid phase separation, should be practical on a commercial scale. However, our present goal is just to improve the access of the chemical research community to ESF.

EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

Caution! ESF is a toxic substance, which has a pungent odor and strong tear-exciting action. All operations handling ESF and precursors should be performed in a well-ventilated hood. Glassware used in this process should be soaked in a 3 mol L^{-1} NaOH solution or aqueous ammonia overnight to remove any remaining sulfonyl halide (1, 2, or 3) before normal cleaning. Hyatt and co-workers illustrated that ESF is highly toxic orally and extremely toxic intraperitoneally to laboratory animals. The oral LD₅₀ is approximately 50 mg/kg for rats and approximately 10 mg/kg for mice. The intraperitoneal LD_{50} is 1-5 mg/kg for rats and <5 mg/kg for mice. The liquid was absorbed through the intact skin, and the skin absorption LD_{50} is 1-5 mL/kg. The material acts as a severe lachrymator.

2-Chloroethanesulfonyl Fluoride (3). Bp: 171 °C (1 atm). ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 3.94–3.90 (m, 2H), 3.83–3.78 (m, 2H). ¹³C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 52.7 (d, *J* = 7.2 Hz), 35.0. ¹⁹F NMR (376 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 56.7. GC-MS: $t_{\rm R}$ = 3.624 min (flow rate of 2 mL/min; column temperature of 50 °C for 4 min, then increased to 280 °C at a rate of 20 °C/min, and then held for 2 min). EI (70 eV)-quadrupole MS: calcd for C₂H₄CIFO₂S [M⁺] 145.96, *m/z* (%) 62.0 (70), 63.0 (100), 64.0 (32), 65.0 (32), 67.0 (24), 83.0 (10), 146.0 (0.3).

Ethenesulfonyl Fluoride (1). Bp: 119 °C (1 atm). ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 6.82 (ddd, J = 16.6, 9.1, 2.1 Hz, 1H), 6.76 (d, J = 16.5 Hz, 1H), 6.47 (dd, J = 9.2, 5.2 Hz, 1H). ¹³C NMR (126 MHz,

ASSOCIATED CONTENT

Supporting Information

The Supporting Information is available free of charge on the ACS Publications website at DOI: 10.1021/acs.joc.6b01423.

¹H, ¹³C, and ¹⁹F NMR spectra of compounds 3 and 1 (PDF)

AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Author

*E-mail: sharples@scripps.edu.

Present Address

[†]J.D.: Key Laboratory of Organofluorine Chemistry, Shanghai Institute of Organic Chemistry, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Shanghai 200032, China.

Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Financial support was provided by the National Institutes of Health (R01GM117145).

REFERENCES

(1) Hedrick, R. M. Ethylene Sulfonyl Fluoride and Its Method of Preparation. U.S. Patent 2,653,973, 1953.

(2) (a) Krutak, J. J.; Burpitt, R. D. 2-(Fluorosulfonyl)ethylamino Anthraquinones. U.S. Patent 3,952,029, 1976. (b) Weaver, M. A.; Coates, C. A.; Fleischer, J. C. Azo Dyes Derived from 3-Amino-2,1benzisothiazoles and Aromatic Amine Couplers Containing Sulfo Groups, or Salts thereof. U.S. Patent 4,265,812, 1981. (c) Mischke, P.; Fuchs, H. Water-Soluble Monoazo Compounds Containing a N-(sulfoalkyl)-aniline Coupling Component and a Fiber-Reactive Group of the Vinylsulfonyl Series in the Phenyl or Benzothiazole Fiber-Reactive Dyestuffs. U.S. Patent 4,652,634, 1987. (d) Seiler, H. Reactive Dyes Containing Fluorotriazine and Vinylsulfonyl Radicals. U.S. Patent 5,298,607, 1994.

(3) Mark, H. B., Jr.; Czerwinski, A.; Caia, J. Thin Layer Electrode and Cell. U.S. Patent 4,310,400, 1982.

(4) Fujigaya, T.; Sibasaki, Y.; Ando, S.; Kishimura, S.; Endo, M.; Sasago, M.; Ueda, M. *Chem. Mater.* **2003**, *15*, 1512.

(5) Vries, L. Hydrocarbylethyl Sulfonyl Fluoride. U.S. Patent 4,269,790, 1981.

(6) (a) Champseix, A.; Chanet, J.; Etienne, A.; Le Berre, A.; Masson, J. C.; Napierala, C.; Vessiere, R. Bull. Soc. Chim. Fr. 1985, 463.
(b) Russell, R. K. Ethanesulfonamide Derivatives. U.S. Patent 4,874,771, 1989. (c) Russell, R. K. Ethanesulfonamide Derivatives. U.S. Patent 5,112,866 A, 1992. (d) Jimonet, P.; Audiau, F.; Barreau, M.; Blanchard, J.-C.; Boireau, A.; Bour, Y.; Coleno, M.-A.; Doble, A.; Doerflinger, G.; Do Huu, C.; Donat, M.-H.; Duchesne, J. M.; Ganil, P.; Gueremy, C.; Honoré, E.; Just, B.; Kerphirique, R.; Gontier, S.; Hubert, P.; Laduron, P. M.; Le Blevec, J.; Meunier, M.; Miquet, J.-M.; Nemecek, C.; Pasquet, M.; Piot, O.; Pratt, J.; Rataud, J.; Reibaud, M.; Stutzmann, J.-M.; Mignani, S. J. Med. Chem. 1999, 42, 2828.
(e) Kreimeyer, A.; Laube, B.; Sturgess, M.; Goeldner, M.; Foucaud, B. J. Med. Chem. 1999, 42, 4394. (f) Aguilar, B.; Amissah, F.; Duverna, R.; Lamango, N. S. Curr. Cancer Drug Targets 2011, 11, 752.

(7) (a) Dong, J.; Krasnova, L.; Finn, M. G.; Sharpless, K. B. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2014, 53, 9430. (b) Chen, Q.; Mayer, P.; Mayr, H. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2016, 55, 12664.

(8) Krutak, J. J.; Burpitt, R. D.; Moore, W. H.; Hyatt, J. A. J. Org. Chem. 1979, 44, 3847.

(9) (a) Sharpless, K. B.; Kolb, H. C. Book of Abstracts; 217th ACS National Meeting, Anaheim, CA, March 21–25, 1999; ORGN-105,

The Journal of Organic Chemistry

199:145537. (b) Kolb, H. C.; Finn, M. G.; Sharpless, K. B. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2001, 40, 2004.

(10) (a) Scherer, O.; Schacher, P. F. Process of Preparing Vinylsulfofluoride. U.S. Patent 2,783,275, 1957. (b) Scherer, O.; Schacher, P. F. Vinylsulfonyl Fluoride and Preparation thereof. U.S. Patent 2,884,452, 1959.

(11) The carboy used in this experiment has a bottom spigot, which is beneficial for the easy isolation of the desired organic phase without a separatory funnel.

(12) The solubility of potassium bifluoride in water at 20 °C is 39.2 g/100 mL. The pH of the nearly saturated potassium bifluoride solution used in this experiment was 3.0, as measured by a pH test strip (range of 1-14, precision of 1.0).

(13) Little exotherm was noted. Hence, no heat control is needed, at least at the present scale.

(14) This aqueous solution contained potassium bifluoride [K(FHF), 4.37 mol], potassium dihydrogen trifluoride [K(FHFHF), 8.73 mol], and potassium chloride (KCl, 8.73 mol). The pH of this solution was 1.0, as measured by a pH test strip (range of 1–14, precision of 1.0). To minimize fluoride waste, the solution for sulfonyl chloride–fluoride transformation can be regenerated by adding potassium fluoride (508 g, 8.73 mol) to this solution. A rapid exotherm is observed. After the mixture cools to room temperature, the pH of this solution is again 3.0. This regenerated bifluoride solution can be used in the preparation of a new batch of ESF. We found this K(FHF)/K(FHFHF) solution could be used for at least three cycles without an apparent change in the effectiveness of the reaction.

(15) Magnesium oxide has poor solubility in water, see: Roy, D. M.; Roy, R. *Am. J. Sci.* **1957**, *255*, 574. Hence, this "slurry" condition approximated "slow addition", which simplifies the operation.

(16) Alternatively, this combined organic phase can be used as a stock solution of ESF in methylene chloride. In this case, it was determined by quantitative NMR that the solution (3.91 kg) contained 0.10 kg of ESF. The concentration could be further confirmed by a "titration" using an equimolar reaction between ESF and 1-phenylpiperazine.